

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viriginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE CONFIRMA 05/11/2001 Peter J. Gillis 2069.273 09/852,321 **EXAMINER** 7590 10/23/2003 PETRAVICK, MEREDITH C Sean W. Goodwin Goodwin Berlin McKay PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT The Burns Building 237 - 8th Avenue S.E., Suite 360 3671 Calgary, T2G 5C3 DATE MAILED: 10/23/2003 CANADA

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Λ
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	09/852,321	GILLIS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Meredith C Petravick	3671	$-\mathcal{N}$
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence addr	ess f
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commuSANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31	<u>March 2003</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under			nerits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-16 and 18-27</u> is/are pending in	• •	·	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) <u>13-27</u> is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) <u>8-12</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/c Application Papers	or election requirement.		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) \square The drawing(s) filed on <u>8/21/2001</u> is/are: a) \square a	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)☐ approved b)☐ d	disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ireau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		age
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.S.C.	. § 119(e) (to a provisional ap	oplication).
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	• •		
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Informal Patent Application (PTO-1	

Application/Control Number: 09/852,321 Page 2

Art Unit: 3671

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-7 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Menton 3,396,807.

Menton discloses a rotational impact assembly for a drill bit including:

- a housing (38) adapted to be rotated by a rotary drive
- a bit (16) extending from the housing and being rotatably driven thereby
- a rotary drive (14 and 30) located in the housing for periodically and rotatably impacting the drill bit

In regards to claim 7, the housing further comprises a bit shaft (40) through which the drill bit is rotatably driven.

In regards to claim 10, the rotary drive is a driven by a drill string.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claim 13-16 and 18-27 are allowed.

4. Claims 8-12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments filed 3/31/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 6. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., that the bit must always be rotating at a speed at least equal to the rotational speed of the housing) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Applicant's claim does not recite that the bit must always be rotating at a speed at least equal to the rotational speed of the housing as applicant seems to argue. Therefore, even if the bit in Menton slows, Menton still meets the claim limitations.

Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Application/Control Number: 09/852,321 Page 4

Art Unit: 3671

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Meredith Petravick whose telephone number is 703-305-0047.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Thomas B. Will, can be reached at 703-308-3870.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-1113. The fax

number for this Group is 703-305-3597.

Thomas B. Will

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group Art Unit 3671

MCP

October 19, 2003